



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,427	01/22/2004	Jonathan Feinberg	260-007	4906
44185	7590	07/14/2009	LOT9-2003-0108US1	
LOTUS AND RATIONAL SOFTWARE				
David A. Dagg, Esq.				
44 Chapin Road				
Newton, MA 02459				
EXAMINER				
ABDUL-ALL, OMAR R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2173				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/14/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dave@davedagg.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/762,427

Applicant(s)

FEINBERG ET AL.

Examiner

OMAR ABDUL-ALI

Art Unit

2173

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) OMAR ABDUL-ALI.

(3) _____.

(2) Dave Dagg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Porter, Godefroid.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the interpretation of the Porter reference regarding the total number of sessions. The examiner proposed inclusion of claim language that would possibly overcome the 103 rejection. Specifically, the inclusion of the initiator of the chat session and activity information regarding the session presented in an information display (inactive, active) would require further search and reconsideration of the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Omar Abdul-Ali/
Examiner, Art Unit 2173